

## **REMARKS**

This is in response to the Office Action dated June 19, 2006 have a one-month reply date of July 19, 2006. Claims 59-122 are subject a restriction/election based on the Examiner's assertion that the claims are drawn to two distinct inventions. The Examiner has classified the claims as follows:

Group I : Claims 59-120

Group II : Claims 121-122

Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's assertion that these claims are drawn to separate and distinct inventions. Although, in order to advance the prosecution of the present application, Applicant herein elects Group I for examination. Additionally, Applicant herein cancels, without prejudice, claims 121-122 of the non-elected Group.

As discussed in the Preliminary Amendment filed April 19, 2006, Applicant submits the presently pending claims 59-120 are patentable in view of the prior art of record. Prompt reconsideration and allowance of the present application are therefore respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

KENYON & KENYON LLP

Dated: June 18, 2006

By: 

Timothy J. Bechen  
Reg. No. 48,126

One Broadway  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 425-7200

**CUSTOMER NO. 26646**